

International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology

ISSN (P): 2522-6614
ISSN (E): 2522-6622
© Gynaecology Journal
www.gynaecologyjournal.com
2019; 3(1): 01-03
Received: 01-11-2018
Accepted: 03-12-2018

Mythily M
Assistant Professor,
Department of Obstetrics &
Gynaecology, Government Medical
College and ESI Hospital,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Shanthi S
Associate Professor,
Department of Obstetrics &
Gynaecology, Chengalpattu
Medical College and Hospital,
Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

Total abdominal hysterectomy versus non descent vaginal hysterectomy in benign uterine disorders: A comparative study

Mythily M and Shanthi S

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/gynae.2019.v3.i1a.01>

Abstract

Introduction: Hysterectomy is the commonest surgery performed by abdominal, vaginal or laparoscopic method. Vaginal method has distinct health and economic benefits. It is an acceptable method of hysterectomy for non descent uterus.

Methods: A retrospective study conducted on 100 women who underwent hysterectomy for benign uterine disorders other than prolapse uterus in the department of OBG, Tertiary hospital, Coimbatore.

Results: Out of 100 patients, 60 patients underwent total abdominal hysterectomy (TAH) and 40 patients underwent non descent vaginal hysterectomy (NDVH). It was observed that intraoperative blood loss, duration of hospital stay, intra and postoperative complications were less in vaginal route.

Conclusion: NDVH is associated with less blood loss, quicker recovery, early mobilization, less duration of hospital stay than TAH. The post operative complications were less irrespective of increased operating time in NDVH. Therefore NDVH is safe and feasible in women requiring hysterectomy for benign conditions.

Keywords: NDVH, TAH, complications, benign uterine disorders

Introduction

Hysterectomy is the commonest procedure performed by the gynaecologist^[1]. It can be done by abdominal, vaginal and laparoscopic method. The factors which influence the route of hysterectomy for benign disorders are size of the uterus, width of vagina, accessibility to the uterus, extent of extrauterine disease, the need for concurrent procedures, surgeon's skill, available hospital resources, emergency or scheduled cases and preference of the patient^[2]. Abdominal hysterectomy is the most common surgery performed worldwide. This method is used mainly for malignancies, large sized uterus and anticipated dense adhesions where removal of uterus is not possible through vaginal route^[3]. Vaginal route for non descent uterus is an acceptable method in most benign gynaecological conditions including fibroids. The vaginal route has many advantages like better intra and postoperative course, less analgesic need, improved pain score, reduced hospital stay and better patient satisfaction. The technique of NDVH is not very different from vaginal hysterectomy. Gynaecologists can easily master this surgery.

Methods

This retrospective study was conducted in department of obstetrics and gynaecology for a period of 12 months between January 2017 to December 2017 in the government medical college and ESI hospital, Coimbatore. 100 women who underwent hysterectomy for benign uterine disorders other than prolapse uterus were included in the study. Out of 100 patients, 60 underwent abdominal hysterectomy and 40 women had vaginal hysterectomy.

Inclusion criteria

1. Non descent uterus with benign pathology
2. (AUB, fibroid, cervical polyp, adenomyosis)
3. Uterus with good mobility
4. Uterus size <16 weeks
5. Benign adnexal pathology

Correspondence
Shanthi S
Associate Professor,
Department of Obstetrics &
Gynaecology, Chengalpattu
Medical College and Hospital,
Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

Exclusion criteria

1. Prolapse uterus
2. Uterus size >16 weeks
3. Uterus with restricted mobility
4. Narrow vagina and rigid perineum
5. Suspected or diagnosed malignancy
6. Complex adnexal mass

Detailed history, systemic and pelvic examination findings of the 100 women were analysed from the case sheets. Preoperative investigations, Pap smear, pelvic ultrasound and endometrial biopsy were done for all cases. The route of surgery was decided by the operating surgeon with the concurrence of patient after detailed discussion about both the procedures. The operating time was calculated for NDVH from cervicovaginal incision to the vault closure whereas for TAH from abdominal incision to complete abdominal closure. Intraoperative blood loss was noted by number of pads soaked and measuring the blood suctioned during the procedure. Intraoperative complications like hemorrhage and any visceral injuries were noted. All the women included in this study were given same antibiotic prophylaxis. Postoperative complications, pain score and duration of hospital stay were documented.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS program. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentage. Categorical variables were analysed using Chi square test and normally distributed continuous variables were compared by unpaired t-test. P value less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results**Table 1:** Age wise distribution

Age in years	Abdominal route (N=60)	Vaginal route (N=40)
30-35	2(3.3%)	1(2.5%)
36-40	4(6.7%)	2(5%)
41-45	22(36.7%)	15(37.5%)
46-50	26(43.3%)	19(47.5%)
>50	6(10%)	3(7.5%)

p value >0.05

Table 2: Parity wise distribution

Parity	Abdominal route	Vaginal route
Nulligravida	2(3.3%)	1(2.5%)
P1	5(8.3%)	7(17.5%)
P2	14(23.4%)	9(22.5%)
P3	21(35%)	11(27.5%)
P4	18(30%)	12(30%)

p value >0.05

Table 3: Size of the uterus in gestational weeks

Weeks	Abdominal route	Vaginal route
<10 weeks	3(5%)	18(45%)
10-12 weeks	20(33.3%)	11(27.5%)
12-14 weeks	28(46.7%)	8(20%)
>14 weeks	9(15%)	3(7.5%)

p value <0.05

Table 4: Previous surgery

Surgery	Abdominal route	Vaginal route
1 LSCS	5(8.3%)	4(10%)
2 LSCS	6(10%)	2(5%)
Previous pelvic surgery	3(5%)	1(2.5%)

p value >0.05

Table 5: Indications of hysterectomy

Indications	Abdominal route	Vaginal route
AUB	15(25%)	22(55%)
Fibroid	37(61.7%)	6(15%)
Adenomyosis	2(3.3%)	3(7.5%)
Adnexal mass	3(5%)	2(5%)
Endometrial hyperplasia	2(3.3%)	4(10%)
Chronic cervicitis	1(1.7%)	3(7.5%)

p value <0.05

Table 6: Intraoperative and postoperative observation

Factors	Abdominal route (Mean ± SD)	Vaginal route (Mean ±SD)	P Value
Duration of surgery	59.2 ± 4.66	70.1±4.92	<0.05
Blood loss	100.6± 11.91	73.2±7.0	<0.05
Ambulation	2.67± 0.18	1.56±0.15	<0.05
Pain score on day 3	2.91± 0.13	1.87±0.18	<0.05
Duration of hospital stay	8.2±1.49	4.1±0.53	<0.05

Table 7: Postoperative complications

Complications	Abdominal route	Vaginal route	p Value
Fever	9(15%)	2(5%)	<0.05
UTI	3(5%)	1(2.5%)	>0.05
Respiratory infection	2(3.3%)	1(2.5%)	>0.05
Wound gaping/ infection	8(13.3%)	1(2.5%)	<0.05
DVT	2(3.3%)	0(0)	>0.05
Blood transfusion	10(16.7%)	2(5%)	<0.05
Vaginal discharge	3(5%)	1(2.5%)	>0.05
Bladder injury	2(3.3%)	1(2.5%)	>0.05

Out of 100 women, 60 underwent abdominal hysterectomy and 40 underwent vaginal hysterectomy. None of the women in the vaginal group were converted to abdominal route. Age and parity were comparable in both vaginal and abdominal hysterectomy group (Table 1, 2). TAH was significantly more in women with large sized uterus. VH was significantly more in women whose uterine size less than 10 weeks (Table 3). No significant difference was observed in both groups with reference to previous surgery (Table 4). VH was significantly more in women with abnormal uterine bleeding (Table 5). Duration of surgery was significantly more in vaginal group. Blood loss was significantly more in TAH group. The mean pain score was less in vaginal group and these women were ambulated early. This was statistically significant when compared to abdominal group (Table 6). Postoperative complications like fever, wound infection or gaping and need for blood transfusion were significantly more in TAH group when compared to vaginal group (Table 7).

Discussion

In earlier days, most of the hysterectomies done for benign condition were through abdominal route. Vaginal hysterectomies were mostly reserved for women with prolapse uterus. Recently vaginal hysterectomies are commonly performed for non descent uterus [4, 5]. In our study, most of the patients were in the age group of 45-50 years and were multipara as comparable with the report of Kovac *et al.* [6] In present study, 45% of NDVH was performed for uterine size <10 weeks and 11% for uterine size 10-12 weeks which was comparable to the study by Chandana *et al.* [7] and Reiter *et al.* [8] We were able to remove uterus of size

>14 weeks vaginally without any difficulties. From the analysis, the common indication for NDVH was AUB followed by fibroid uterus as comparable to the study by Singh *et al.* [9] and Garg *et al.* [10]. In this study operating time for NDVH was more when compared to TAH which was contrary to the observation of Goel *et al.* [11]. Most of the operating surgeons were in learning process and less experienced with NDVH, so the operating time taken for NDVH was more when compared to TAH. But they were able to learn the technique of NDVH without much difficulty. In TAH group, the amount of blood loss was significantly more when compared to NDVH. Aniuliene *et al.* [12] and Chen *et al.* [13] have reported the same. The need for blood transfusion was found to be more in abdominal group when compared to vaginal group. This is similar to the report of Chandana *et al.* [7]. In the present study, the intraoperative complication of bladder injury was not statistically significant in both the groups. This was contrary to the study by Dicker *et al.* [14]. Dicker *et al.* [14] has observed more bladder injuries in vaginal group. According to the study, the febrile morbidity and wound infection were more in TAH group which was similar to study by Shanthini *et al.* [15]. The hospital stay for NDVH was shorter than TAH group as compatible with Chen Bet *et al.* [13] study.

Conclusion

NDVH is associated with less blood loss during surgery, less intra operative and post operative complications, early ambulation, quicker recovery and less post operative stay when compared to TAH. The advantages of NDVH are scarless surgery and less intraoperative manipulation which will prevent wound infection especially in obese patient. NDVH is safe and feasible in most of the women requiring hysterectomy for benign uterine conditions. The clinical outcome is found to be superior in NDVH when compared to TAH. The young gynaecologists should be trained in the technique of NDVH and should be encouraged to perform NDVH wherever feasible to benefit the women undergoing hysterectomy for benign pathology.

References

1. The Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynecology. National Evidence Based Clinical Guidelines. The management of menorrhagia in secondary care. The Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2004. Available at <https://www.rcog.uk/guidelines>.
2. Choosing the route of hysterectomy for benign disease. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 444. American College of Obstetricians and gynaecologists. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2009; 114(5):1156-1158.
3. Kovac SR. Guidelines to determine the route of hysterectomy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1995; 85:18-23.
4. Das S, Sheth S. Uterine Volume: an aid to determine the route and technique of hysterectomy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Ind.* 2004; 54:68-72.
5. Dewan R, Agarwal S, Manisha, Minocha B, Sen SK. Non – Descent Vaginal hysterectomy-an experience. *J Obstet Gynaecol India.* 2004; 54:376-378.
6. Kovac SR. Transvaginal hysterectomy: rationale and surgical approach. *Obstet Gynaecol.* 2004; 103:1321-1325.
7. Chandana C, Venkatesh S, Shah TN. Non descent vaginal hysterectomy for benign gynecological disease: A Prospective study. *Journal of evidence based Medicine and Health care.* 2014; 1:827-833.
8. Reiter RC, Wagner PL, Gambone JC. Routine hysterectomy for large asymptomatic uterine leiomyomata-A reappraisal.

9. Singh A, Bansal S. Vaginal hysterectomy for non prolapsed uterus. *J Obstet Gynecol India.* 2006; 56:152-155.
10. Garg PK, Deka D, Malhotra N. Non descent vaginal hysterectomy for benign condition. A better proposition than abdominal hysterectomy. *Journal of Obs and Gynae Today.* 2002; 6:345-346.
11. Goel N, Rajaram S, Ghumman S. Chapter 12-Analysis of data: 75 consecutive cases. In: Step by step non descent vaginal hysterectomy, 1st edition Delhi: Jaypee publishers, 2005. 138-146.
12. Aniuliene R, Varzgaliene L, Varzgalis. A comparative analysis of hysterectomies. 2007; 43:118-124.
13. Chen B, Ren DP, Li CD. Comparison of vaginal and abdominal hysterectomy-A prospective non randomized trial. 2014; 30(4):875-879.
14. Dicker RC, Greenspan JR, Strauss LT, Cowart MR, Scally MJ, Peterson HB. Complications of abdominal and vaginal hysterectomy among women of reproductive age in the United States. The collaborative review of sterilization. *Am J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1982; 144:841-846.
15. Shanthini NF, Poomalar GK, Jayshree M, Bupathy A. Evaluation of complications of abdominal and vaginal hysterectomy. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2012; 1(1):7-11.