

# International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology

ISSN (P): 2522-6614  
ISSN (E): 2522-6622  
© Gynaecology Journal  
[www.gynaecologyjournal.com](http://www.gynaecologyjournal.com)  
2020; 4(3): 72-74  
Received: 06-03-2020  
Accepted: 08-04-2020

**Dr. Nabnita Patnaik**  
Associate Professor, Department of  
Obstetrics and Gynaecology,  
AIIMS, Bibinagar, Telangana,  
India

## To study the incidence and feto-maternal indications for primary caesarean section: an observational study

**Dr. Nabnita Patnaik**

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.33545/gynae.2020.v4.i3b.577>

### Abstract

**Aim:** Study the incidence and indications for primary caesarean section in the Indian scenario

**Methods:** Descriptive cross-sectional study entitled was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. The study includes 178 subjects planned for primary caesarean section. Descriptive statistics included computation of percentages, means and standard deviations were calculated using SPSS version 20.

**Results:** The incidence of primary caesarean section calculated was 29.7%. , Mean age of the patients was 27.16±2.11years. Foetal indications comprises Non-reassuring or Abnormal CTG (53.7%) followed by Malpresentation (23.1%). Under maternal indications for primary caesarean; maternal request (caesarian delivery on maternal request) CDMR (45.8%) and Abnormal placentation (25.0%) were the major reason.

**Conclusions:** The most common fetal indication was Non-reassuring or Abnormal CTG and Malpresentation. Under maternal indication CDMR and Abnormal placentation was the major reasons for the primary caesarean.

**Keywords:** Abnormal CTG, Cesarean section, CDMR, Incidence

### Introduction

Caesarean section (CS or C-section) is a surgical intervention which is carried out to ensure safety of mother and child when vaginal delivery is not possible (emergency CS) or when the doctors consider that the danger to the mother and baby would be greater with a vaginal delivery (planned CS). Proportion of CS to the total births is considered as one of the important indicators of emergency obstetric care [1]. Various indications of caesarean section [2]: Maternal absolute indications are - abnormal placentation, prior full thickness myomectomy, genital tract obstructive mass, invasive cervical cancer, prior trachellectomy, permanent cerclage, prior pelvic reconstructive surgery, pelvic deformity, active HSV or HIV infection near term, cardiac or pulmonary disease, cerebral aneurysm or arteriovenous malformation. Maternal-Fetal relative indications are—cephalopelvic disproportion, failed operative vaginal delivery, placenta previa minor degree or abruptio placenta. Fetal indications are-nonreassuring fetal status, malpresentation, macrosomia, congenital anomaly, abnormal color doppler study, thrombocytopenia. It is a well-established that caesarean section (CS) rates have risen in both developed and developing world over the past three decades [3, 4]. Developed countries have seen a drastic rise in C- Sections from 1996 to-2011 [5]. 4 The developing world too has seen a similar rise. Countries in south east Asia and sub Saharan Africa have recorded increases in C-Section rates though they vary widely from one country to the other. In order to understand what is driving this trend the present study was conducted with the aim to study the incidence and indications for primary caesarean section in the India scenario [6, 7].

### Materials and method

The present descriptive cross-sectional study entitled “study the incidence and indications for primary caesarean section in the India scenario.” was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. The study includes 178 subjects planned for primary caesarean section.

### Exclusion criteria

- Previous Cesarean Section
- Gestational age less than 28 weeks
- Prior history of hysterotomy and myomectomy

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Dr. Nabnita Patnaik**  
Associate Professor, Department of  
Obstetrics and Gynaecology,  
AIIMS, Bibinagar, Telangana,  
India

### Ethical approval and Informed consent

The study protocol was reviewed by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital and granted ethical clearance. After explaining the purpose and details of the study, a written informed consent was obtained.

### Methodology

Patients were followed up in the ward till they are admitted and thereafter till 6 weeks for any complications. Neonatal status was followed up in the ward or Neonatal ICU and later on till 6 weeks of neonatal life.

### Statistical analysis

The recorded data was compiled and entered in a spreadsheet computer program (Microsoft Excel 2010) and then exported to data editor page of SPSS version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics included computation of percentages, means and standard deviations were calculated.

**Table 1:** Incidence of primary cesarean section

Delivery Type	N (598)	%
Vaginal	420	70.3
Primary cesarean	178	29.7

Table 1: Out total 598 institutional deliveries the incidence of primary cesarean section calculated was 29.7%

**Table 2:** Age distribution in the study population

Age	N (178)	%
20-25 yr	102	57.3
26-30 yr	42	23.6
31-35 yr	26	14.6
>35 yr	8	4.5
Mean±SD	27.16±2.11	

In the present study, mean age of the patients was 27.16±2.11. Majority of the subjects belonged to 20-25 year age (57.3%) followed by 26-30 year age (23.6%), 31-35 years age (14.6%) and >35 years age (4.5%).

**Table 3:** distribution of fetal indications

Fetal Indications	N (108)	%
Non-reassuring or Abnormal CTG	58	53.7
Malpresentation (MP)	25	23.1
Abnormal umbilical artery color doppler (AUCD)	17	15.7
Macrosomia (MS)	8	7.4

Table3: Fetal indications comprises Non-reassuring or Abnormal CTG (53.7%) followed by Malpresentation (23.1%), Abnormal umbilical artery colour Doppler (15.7%), Macrosomia (7.4%).

**Table 4:** distribution of maternal indications

Maternal Indications	N (48)	%
CDMR	22	45.8
Abnormal Placentation (AP)	12	25.0
Genital Tract Obstructive Mass (GTOM)	4	8.3
Maternal Cardiac Disease (MCD)	4	8.3
Pelvic Deformity (PD)	3	6.3
Failed Operative Vaginal Delivery (FOVD)	3	6.3

Table 4: Under maternal indications for primary caesarean; maternal request CDMR (45.8%), Abnormal placentation (25.0%), Maternal cardiac disease (4%), Genital tract

obstructive mass (4%), Pelvic deformity (6.3%), Failed operative vaginal delivery (6.3%).

**Table 5:** distribution of other I indications

Other Indications	N (22)	%
Cephalo-pelvic disproportion (CPD)	13	59.2
Arrest disorders (AD)	7	31.8

Table 5: Under the category of other indications the most common was Cephalo-pelvic disproportion (59.2%) followed by Arrest disorders (31.8%).

### Discussion

Primary caesarean section usually determines the future obstetric course of any woman and therefore should be avoided wherever possible. The rising caesarean section rate is a worldwide phenomenon although WHO states that there is no additional benefit associated with rising caesarean section rate of above 15% [8].

In the present study the incidence of primary caesarean section calculated was found 29.7%. High caesarean section in our institute can be explained by the fact that our institute is the biggest referral centre in the region and receives several referrals from centres not well equipped. When compared to other countries our rates were lower than those of the USA (31.1%) and Australia (30%), higher than that of Norway (13.9%) and almost same as that of the Asian countries (27.3%) [9-12].

In the present study, mean age of the patients was 27.16±2.11. Majority of the subjects belonged to 20-25 year age (57.3%) followed by 26-30 year age (23.6%), 31-35 years age (14.6%) and >35 years age (4.5%). Similarly, in a study conducted by AyanoMoges [13] on prevalence and outcome of caesarean section reported that the age of the patients ranged between 16-45 years with a mean age of 28.12 years with SD ± 5.14. 84% of the patient's were between 20-35 years, 9.6% were younger than 20 years and 6.4% were older than 35 years. Sethi P *et al.* [14] in their study of primary caesarean section observed that 41% of cases were between 25-29 years of age.

In the present investigation fetal indications comprised of; Non-reassuring or Abnormal CTG (53.7%) followed by Malpresentation (23.1%), Abnormal umbilical artery colour Doppler (15.7%), Macrosomia (7.4%). Suspected fetal distress detected by cardiotocography (CTG) has been the most common indication for caesarean section for the past few decades. A Hospital based study from Jordan reported the CTG as the major fetal indication [15]. A study from Bangladesh found fetal distress as the second leading cause of Primary cesarean [16].

Under maternal indications for primary caesarean; CDMR comprises (45.8%), Abnormal placentation was the reason for (25.0%), Maternal cardiac disease (4%), Genital tract obstructive mass (4%), Pelvic deformity (6.3%), Failed operative vaginal delivery (6.3%). Batieha AM *et al* [17]. on caesarean section, they concluded that CDMR was one of the main reasons for planned caesarean section accounting for 5.6% of all planned caesarean section. The reason provided by participating women for preference of caesarean section was simply to avoid pain of vaginal delivery. On the other hand, in a previous study of maternal morbidity in Jordan (2007-2008), mother desire accounted for less than 1% of caesarean deliveries [15].

### Conclusion

Even though the cesarean is of the most commonly performed surgical procedures today; it is not without risks. Our study

confirmed the cesarean section rate of 29.7% and it is above the 15% recommended by World Health Organization (WHO) for developing countries. The most common fetal indication was Non-reassuring or Abnormal CTG and Malpresentation. Under maternal indication CDMR and Abnormal placentation was the major reasons for the primary caesarean.

## References

1. World Health Organization, UNFPA, UNICEF and AMDD: "Monitoring Emergency Obstetric Care: a Handbook", WHO, Geneva.2009.
2. Cunningham F, Levono K, Bloom S, Spong C, Dashe J, Hoffman B, *et al.* Williams Obstetrics (24<sup>th</sup> edition.). New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2014, 588-9.
3. Betram AP, Merialdi M, Lauer JA, Bing-Shun W, Thomas J, Van Look P, *et al.* Rates of caesarean section: analysis of global, regional and national estimates. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2007; 21:98-113.
4. Zizza A, Tinelli A, Malvasi A, Barbone E, Stark M, De Donno A, *et al.* Caesarean section in the world: a new ecological approach. J Prev Med Hyg. 2011; 52:161-73.
5. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, Osterman MJ, Mathews TJ. Births: Final data for 2011. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2013; 62:1-90.
6. Stanton CK, Holtz SA. Levels and trends in cesarean birth in the developing world. Stud Fam Plann. 2006; 37:41-8.
7. Ribeiro V, Figueiredo F, Silva A, Bettiol H, Batista R, Coimbra L, *et al.* Why are the rates of cesarian section in Brazil higher in more developed cities than in less developed ones? Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 2007; 40:1211-20.
8. Belizan JM, Althabe F, Barros FC, *et al.* Rates and implications of caesarean sections in Latin America. BMJ: 1999; 319:1397-1402.
9. MacDorman MF, Menacker F, Declercq E. Cesarean birth in the United States: epidemiology, trends, and outcomes. Clin Perinatol. 2008; 35(2):293-307.
10. Stavrou EP, Ford JB, Shand AW, Morris JM, Roberts CL. Epidemiology and trends for Caesarean section births in New South Wales, Australia: a population-based study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2011; 11:8.
11. Kolås T, Hofoss D, Daltveit AK, Nilsen ST, Henriksen T, Häger R, *et al.* Indications for cesarean deliveries in Norway. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 188(4):864-70.
12. Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Gulmezoglu AM, Souza JP, Taneepanichskul S, Ruyan P, *et al.* Method of delivery and pregnancy outcomes in Asia: the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health. Lancet, 2007-08, 490-499.
13. Moges A, Ademe B, Akessa G. Prevalence and Outcome of caesarean section in Attat Hospital, Gurage Zone, SNNPR, Ethiopia. Arch Med. 2015; 7:4.
14. Sethi P, Vijaylaxmi S, Shailaja G, Bodhare T, Devi S. A study of primary cesarean section in multigravidae. Perspectives in medical research. 2014; 2(2):3-7.
15. Adnan A, Abu O, Suleiman H, Abu A. Frequency rate and indications of caesarean sections at Prince Zaid Bin Al-Hussein Hospital - Jordan. J Med Sci Clin Res. 2012; 19:82-6.