

International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology

ISSN (P): 2522-6614
ISSN (E): 2522-6622
© Gynaecology Journal
www.gynaecologyjournal.com
2018; 2(3): 126-130
Received: 26-03-2018
Accepted: 27-04-2018

Dr. TS Meena

Professor of Obstetrics &
Gynaecology, KMC Hospital,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. MS Sornam

Professor of Obstetrics &
Gynaecology, Tagore Medical
College Hospital, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. R Thangam

Postgraduate Student, OG Dept,
KMC Hospital, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India

S Padmanaban

Research Scientist B (Non-Medical)
HRRC Field unit, ICMR KMCH
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence

Dr. MS Sornam

Professor of Obstetrics &
Gynaecology, Tagore Medical
College Hospital, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India

Pregnancy outcomes in antiphospholipid syndrome in a tertiary hospital: An observational study

Dr. TS Meena, Dr. MS Sornam, Dr. R Thangam and S Padmanaban

Abstract

Background: Obstetric complications are the hallmark of antiphospholipid syndrome. Recurrent miscarriage, early delivery, oligohydramnios, prematurity, intrauterine growth restriction, fetal distress, fetal or neonatal thrombosis, pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, HELLP syndrome, arterial or venous thrombosis and placental insufficiency are the most severe APS-related complication for pregnant women. Antiphospholipid antibodies promote activation of endothelial cells, monocytes and platelets, causing an overproduction of tissue factor and thromboxane A2. Complement activation might have a central pathogenetic role. These factors, associated with the typical changes in the hemostatic system during normal pregnancy, result in a hypercoagulable state. This is responsible of thrombosis that is presumed to provoke many of the pregnancy complications associated with APS. Obstetric care is based on combined medical-obstetric high-risk management and treatment with the association between aspirin and heparin. This review deals with the pregnancy outcomes of APLA syndrome.

Methods: This Observational study was conducted among 21 antenatal women diagnosed with antiphospholipid syndrome among 1025 AN screened women who attended antenatal clinic in Government Kilpauk Medical College Hospital, Chennai. This study was done after getting clearance from ethical committee of Government Kilpauk Medical College Hospital.

Aims & objectives

1. To study the prevalence of antiphospholipid syndrome in antenatal population attending Government Kilpauk Medical College Hospital.
2. To study the outcome of APLA syndrome in pregnancy.

Results: 21 cases of APLA details analysed

Conclusion: There exists a statistical significance between Hypertension and Non Hypertension APLA Patients with respect to different age group. Hypertension was there for 19% of APLA patients.

Keywords: APLA syndrome

Introduction

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is an autoimmune condition, in which antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) cause clinical features including thrombosis, fetal loss, and preterm delivery. Studies in large numbers of patients with APS show that they suffer both early and late fetal loss as well as complications of pregnancy such as preeclampsia. The fetal loss in patients with APS is not caused primarily by thrombosis, but by a number of biological effects of aPL that affect implantation of the embryo. These factors are not yet understood fully but include effects on trophoblast cell viability and migration, inflammation at the fetal-maternal interface, and activation of complement. The established management of pregnancy in patients with known obstetric APS is to give daily low-dose oral aspirin plus daily subcutaneous heparin. This gives a live birth rate of over 70%. The trials that led to this form of management being adopted were small but overall do support the use of the heparin/aspirin combination over aspirin alone. There is no definite evidence supporting the use of heparin plus aspirin in patients who are APLA-positive, but who have never suffered any problems in pregnancy. However, patients taking long-term warfarin for thrombotic APS should have this changed to heparin during pregnancy.

Aims & objectives

1. To study the prevalence of antiphospholipid syndrome in antenatal population attending Government Kilpauk Medical College Hospital.
2. To study the outcome of APLA syndrome in pregnancy.

Methods

This Observational study was conducted among 21 antenatal women diagnosed with antiphospholipid syndrome who attended antenatal clinic in Government Kilpauk Medical College Hospital, Chennai.

Inclusion Criteria

H/O Vascular thrombosis
 Bad Obstetric history.
 Elevated IgG or IgM anticardiolipin antibody (>40 GPLU or >99th percentile of healthy controls) Elevated IgG or IgM anti-β2GPI antibody (>99th percentile of healthy controls)
 Positive lupus anticoagulant assay (One or more of these tests must be positive on at least two occasions at least 12 weeks apart).

Techniques

Plasma samples were tested for anticardiolipin antibodies and β2GPI antibodies by recommended methods on first visit. Inj, Enoxaparin 5000 U/day was added to Low dose Aspirin (100 mg/day) for all pregnant APS women from the positive pregnancy test result to delivery as per Rheumatologist advise. The 2 treatments were administered at the same time Compliance with the treatment was monitored only by self-declaration by the patient. Monitoring and investigation for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia was done at regular intervals during AN visits.

Results and Discussion

Totally screened: 1025. APLA cases detected 21. Prevalence 2 percentage.

Table 1: Hypertension * Age Group

Crosstab						
		Age Group			Total	
		Till 25	26-30	31-35		
htn	0	Count	3	11	3	17
		% within AGE Group	75.0%	100.0%	50.0%	81.0%
		% of Total	14.3%	52.4%	14.3%	81.0%
	1	Count	1	0	3	4
		% Within Age Group	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	19.0%
		% of Total	4.8%	0.0%	14.3%	19.0%
Total	Count	4	11	6	21	
	% within AGE Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%	

Chi square=6.408 P= 0.041, Statistically Significant.

There exists a statistical significance between Hypertension and Non Hypertension APLA Patients with respect to different age group. Hypertension was there for 19% of APLA patients.

Table 2: gravida * Age Group

Crosstab						
		Age Group			Total	
		TILL 25	26-30	31-35		
g	3	Count	2	2	3	7
		% within Age Group	50.0%	18.2%	50.0%	33.3%
		% of Total	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	33.3%
	4	Count	0	2	1	3
		% within Age Group	0.0%	18.2%	16.7%	14.3%
		% of Total	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%
	5	Count	1	5	1	7
		% within Age Group	25.0%	45.5%	16.7%	33.3%
		% of Total	4.8%	23.8%	4.8%	33.3%
	6	Count	1	1	0	2
		% within Age Group	25.0%	9.1%	0.0%	9.5%
		% of Total	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%	9.5%
	8	Count	0	1	1	2
		% within Age Group	0.0%	9.1%	16.7%	9.5%
		% of Total	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%
Total	Count	4	11	6	21	
	% within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%	

Chi square=6.5.655, P= 0.686 Statistically Not Significant.

There doesnot exists a statistical significance between Gravida of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.

Table 3: abort * Age Group

		Crosstab				
		Age Group			Total	
		TILL 25	26-30	31-35		
abort	0	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within Age Group	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	4.8%
		% of Total	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	4.8%
	1	Count	4	10	6	20
		% within Age Group	100.0%	90.9%	100.0%	95.2%
		% of Total	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%	95.2%
Total	Count	4	11	6	21	
	% within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%	

Chi square=0.955 P= 0.620 Statistically Not Significant.

There doesnot exists a statistical significance between Gravida of APLA Patients with respect to different age group. Abortion percentage among APLA patients were 95%.

Table 4: preterm * Age Group

		Crosstab				
		Age Group			Total	
		TILL 25	26-30	31-35		
preterm	.0	Count	4	5	3	12
		% within Age Group	100.0%	45.5%	50.0%	57.1%
		% of Total	19.0%	23.8%	14.3%	57.1%
	1.0	Count	0	6	3	9
		% within Age Group	0.0%	54.5%	50.0%	42.9%
		% of Total	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%
Total	Count	4	11	6	21	
	% within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%	

Chi square=3.739, P= 0.154, Statistically Not Significant.

There doesnot exists a statistical significance between Preterm of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.Preterm prevalence among APLA were 42.9%.

Table 5: preec * Age Group

		Crosstab				
		Age Group			Total	
		Till 25	26-30	31-35		
Preec	0	Count	4	9	5	18
		% Within Age Group	100.0%	81.8%	83.3%	85.7%
		% Of Total	19.0%	42.9%	23.8%	85.7%
	1	Count	0	2	1	3
		% Within Age Group	0.0%	18.2%	16.7%	14.3%
		% Of Total	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%
Total	Count	4	11	6	21	
	% Within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% Of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%	

Chi square=0.831 P= 0.660 Statistically Not Significant.

There doesnot exists a statistical significance between Preeclampsia of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.

Table 6: hypothy * Age Group

		Crosstab				
		Age Group			Total	
		Till 25	26-30	31-35		
Hypothy	0	Count	4	6	5	15
		% Within Age Group	100.0%	54.5%	83.3%	71.4%
		% Of Total	19.0%	28.6%	23.8%	71.4%
	1	Count	0	5	1	6
		% Within Age Group	0.0%	45.5%	16.7%	28.6%
		% Of Total	0.0%	23.8%	4.8%	28.6%
Total	Count	4	11	6	21	
	% Within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% Of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%	

Chi square=3.553 P= 0.169 Statistically Not Significant.

There does not exist a statistical significance between Hypothyroid of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.

Table 7: hyperthy * Age Group

		Crosstab				
		Age Group			Total	
Hyperthy	0	Count	Till 25	26-30		31-35
				4	11	5
		% Within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	95.2%
		% Of Total	19.0%	52.4%	23.8%	95.2%
Hyperthy	1	Count	0	0	1	1
		% Within Age Group	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	4.8%
		% Of Total	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%
Total		Count	4	11	6	21
		% Within Age Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% Of Total	19.0%	52.4%	28.6%	100.0%

Chi square=2.625P= 0.269 Statistically Not Significant.

There does not exist a statistical significance between Hyperthyroid of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.

Conclusion

1. There exists a statistical significance between Hypertension and Non Hypertension APLA Patients with respect to different age group. Hypertension was there for 19% of APLA patients.
2. There does not exist a statistical significance between Gravida of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.
3. There does not exist a statistical significance between Gravida of APLA Patients with respect to different age group. Abortion percentage among APLA patients were 95%.
4. There does not exist a statistical significance between Preterm of APLA Patients with respect to different age group. Preterm prevalence among APLA were 42.9%.
5. There does not exist a statistical significance between Preeclampsia of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.
6. There does not exist a statistical significance between Hypothyroid of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.
7. There does not exist a statistical significance between Hyperthyroid of APLA Patients with respect to different age group.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Giannakopoulos B, Passam F, Rahgozar S, Krilis SA. Current concepts on the pathogenesis of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Blood*. 2007; 109:422-30.
2. Hughes GR. Thrombosis, abortion, cerebral disease, and the lupus anticoagulant. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1983; 287:1088-9.
3. Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, Branch DW, Brey RL, Cervera R *et al*. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost*. 2006; 4:295-306.
4. Cervera R, Piette JC, Font J, Khamashta MA, Shoenfeld Y, Camps MT *et al*. Antiphospholipid syndrome: Clinical and immunologic manifestations and patterns of disease expression in a cohort of 1,000 patients. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2002; 46:1019-27.
5. Francis J, Rai R, Sebire NJ, El-Gaddal S, Fernandes MS, Jindal P *et al*. Impaired expression of endometrial differentiation markers and complement regulatory proteins in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss associated with antiphospholipid syndrome. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2006; 12:435-42.
6. Cervera R, Khamashta MA, Shoenfeld Y, Camps MT, Jacobsen S, Kiss E *et al*. Morbidity and mortality in the antiphospholipid syndrome during a 5-year period: A multicentre prospective study of 1000 patients. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2009; 68:1428-32.
7. Sebire NJ, Fox H, Backos M, Rai R, Paterson C, Regan L. Defective endovascular trophoblast invasion in primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome-associated early pregnancy failure. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; 17:1067-71.
8. Mulla MJ, Myrtolli K, Brosens JJ, Chamley LW, Kwak-Kim JY, Paidas MJ *et al*. Antiphospholipid antibodies limit trophoblast migration by reducing IL-6 production and STAT3 activity. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2010; 63:339-48.
9. Mulla MJ, Brosens JJ, Chamley LW, Giles I, Pericleous C, Rahman A *et al*. Antiphospholipid antibodies induce a pro-inflammatory response in first trimester trophoblast via the TLR4/MyD88 pathway. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2009; 62:96-111.
10. Poulton K, Ripoll VM, Pericleous C, Meroni PL, Gerosa M, Ioannou Y *et al*. Purified IgG from patients with obstetric but not IgG from non-obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome inhibit trophoblast invasion. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2015; 73:390-401.
11. Mulla MJ, Salmon JE, Chamley LW, Brosens JJ, Boeras CM, Kavathas PB *et al*. A role for uric acid and the Nalp3 inflammasome in antiphospholipid antibody-induced IL-1 β production by human first trimester trophoblast. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e65237.
12. Girardi G, Redecha P, Salmon JE. Heparin prevents antiphospholipid antibody-induced fetal loss by inhibiting complement activation. *Nat Med*. 2004; 10:1222-6.
13. Berman J, Girardi G, Salmon JE. TNF-alpha is a critical effector and a target for therapy in antiphospholipid antibody-induced pregnancy loss. *J Immunol*. 2005; 174:485-90.

14. Holers VM, Girardi G, Mo L, Guthridge JM, Molina H, Pierangeli SS *et al.* Complement C3 activation is required for antiphospholipid antibody-induced fetal loss. *J Exp Med.* 2002; 195:211-20.
15. Petri M. Epidemiology of antiphospholipid syndrome. In: Khamashta M, editor. *Hughes Syndrome*. 2nd ed. London: Springer-Verlag, 2006, 22-8.